CLA Engineers, Inc.

Civil • Structural • Survey

DEGE 1 V E D FEB - 7 2022

317 MAIN STREET

NORWICH, CT 06360

(860) 886-1966

(860) 886-9165 FAX

February 7, 2022

Ms. Liz Burdick Town Planner 310 Norwich-New London Tpke, Uncasville, CT 06382

RE:

245 Route 32 Site

CLA-7064B

Dear Liz:

We have reviewed the plans and supporting documents submitted for the 245 Route 32 multi-family site. We have the following comments:

- 1. Sidewalk installation on Rt. 32 must be provided on the plans.
- 2. Handicap parking must be shown in the visitor parking area.
- 3. Pedestrian access to Route 32 and from the visitor parking area must be provided on the plans.
- 4. The construction of Bldg. 1 immediately adjacent to the existing house is impractical.
- 5. Evidence of submission to CT DOT Dist. 2 must be provided.
- 6. Tree clearing must be adjusted for installation of a drainage outfall.
- 7. A detail of the decorative wall must be provided on the plans.
- 8. The plans show a stockade fence and the wall detail shows a chain link fence; this must be clarified.
- 9. The rear eaves and setback lines may be in conflict.
- 10. The exterior lighting must be shown on the plans.
- 11. The proposed signage on the site must be added to the plans.
- 12. A detail of the walls at the front of several units must be added to the plans.
- 13. Sheet C-3.1 Note 5 must be updated.

- 14. Past test pit locations must be shown on the plans. Additional testing may be needed in the proposed subsurface drainage areas including permeability test 5 per CT DEEP recommendations.
- 15. Spot grades must be shown on the plans as needed in parking areas and the dumpster pad.
- 16. A note must be added to the plans regarding a CT Licensed Engineer designing and inspecting the block wall installation. A wall detail more appropriate to the filling required on the site must be provided.
- 17. The installation of the wall at the property line is impractical.
- 18. The installation of fencing along the retaining wall must be shown behind the wall on the site plan.
- 19. Access to the rear of the property for any reason is impractical.
- 20. The landscaping shows trees being installed in the area of gravity and force main locations along with stoops and walls and other services.
- 21. The plans indicate 10" dia. RCP pipe?
- 22. The subsurface retention systems must provide a method for inspection.
- 23. The proposed plunge pool will concentrate flow that is now shown as sheet flow to the downstream neighbor. A method to spread the stormwater flow must be provided.
- 24. The silt fencing shown on the plans will conflict with the wall installation. The easterly side of the site must have more substantial sedimentation control.
- 25. A temporary sediment pond in the easterly side of site must be provided on the plans.
- 26. The E&S Control Plan must site specific with the proposed construction sequence detailed as well as specific individuals responsible for the E&S Controls.
- 27. An E&S Control Bond Estimate must be provided for review.

Very truly yours,

Thomas L. Cummings, P.E.

TLC:bab